

Race to the Top Fund: District of Columbia Application

Phase II Application briefing

State Board of Education Monthly Meeting

May 19, 2010

Race to the Top Phase II Application

- Phase II applications due June 1
- Award now capped – maximum of \$75 million available for DC
- States will receive payment over four years
- Minimum of 50% of state award must go to participating LEAs – the remaining amount can be used by the state directly or awarded to LEAs through grants
- Award announcements for Phase II to be made in late August – early September

Priority Reform Areas: Four Assurances

All applications must address the following assurances:

1. Standards and Assessments
 - Developing and implementing common, high-quality standards and assessments
2. Data Systems to Support Instruction
 - Fully implementing a statewide longitudinal data system
 - Accessing data and using it to improve instruction
3. Great Teachers and Leaders
 - Evaluating teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance
 - Providing alternative pathways for aspiring teachers and principals
4. Turning Around Struggling Schools
 - Intervening in the lowest-performing schools

Scoring for Phase I application

- DC's reviewer scoring by section breaks down as follows:

Section	Available	Tier 2 Score	Missed Points	% of total in Category	% of Total Missed points
A – State Success Factors	125	94.6	30.4	76%	31%
B – Standards & Assessments	70	67.8	2.2	97%	2%
C – Data Systems	47	23.4	23.6	50%	24%
D – Great Teachers and Leaders	138	111.8	26.2	81%	27%
E – Turning Around Struggling Schools	50	50	0	100%	0%
F – General Conditions	55	55	0	100%	0%
STEM	15	0	15	0%	15%
Total	500	402.6	97.4	81%	100%

Scoring for Phase I application

Strengths

- Boldness of the DC plan
- Strength of the charter movement and the fact that the plan considered the needs of charters
- Plan built on strengths, such as IMPACT and turnaround efforts
- Reviewers considered many plan elements (e.g. data coaches in schools and the professional learning communities of effectiveness) creative approaches.

Scoring for Phase I application

Weaknesses

- The top 5 areas in which DC lost points were as follows:

Sub-category	Total Possible Points	Total DC Points	Difference
C1—Statewide Longitudinal Data System	24	6	18.0
Competitive Priority: STEM	15	0	15.0
A1ii—Securing LEA Commitment	45	34.4	10.6
D2iv—Using evaluations to inform key decisions	28	19.8	8.2
A3ii—Improving Student Outcomes	25	17	8.0

Scoring for Phase I application

Weaknesses

- DC was often “dinged” multiple times for the same weakness
 - Examples included:
 - 1) reviewers citing the lack of union participation both in Section A and in the Great Teachers and Leaders section,
 - 2) reviewers citing the lack of SLED in Section C1, throughout Section C-Data Systems, as a weakness in driving towards goals in Section A, and as a weakness in enabling the tracking needed for Great Teachers and Leaders,
 - 3) reviewers citing lack of LEA participation in the MOU both in Section A and in Great Teachers and Leaders.
- Unique nature of charters not clear to some reviewers
- Some plans lacked specificity and/or did not reflect a statewide strategy
- The decision to not make all MOU elements mandatory cost some points, as the optional elements of the MOU had virtually no LEA buy-in. DC will make all elements mandatory in the Phase II application.

Phase II application – overall approach

- Targeted revisions – most of the application was rated as very strong by the reviewers, so Phase II application strengthens areas that are weak
- Budget reduction – Taking budget from \$112 million to \$75 million will involve scaling back on some initiatives and potentially cutting others entirely
 - Goal will be to maintain strength of application and to maximize funding for LEAs
- STEM – STEM section completely rewritten to focus on creating statewide plan that is horizontally and vertically aligned and integrated across LEAs

STEM update

- DC Goals for STEM:
 - To prepare every student in DC to graduate high school with a college and career ready mastery of math, science, and technology so he or she can major in a STEM field in college if they choose
 - To increase the number of DC students who major in STEM fields in college or go on to STEM careers
- Revise District science standards to reflect research-based science pedagogy and inquiry-based skills; explore engineering standards adoption
- Partnering with Battelle
 - Develop DC STEM Learning Network and similar coordinating council
 - Develop STEM student pathways for K-12
 - Identify, map, and network area higher education and industry partners
 - Identify experiences students should have at each grade level
- Teachers and Leaders – focusing on both pipeline improvement and development of current teachers
- Leveraging other partners throughout

Phase II Budget – Benefits for Schools

Classroom Level

- Curriculum aligned to Common Core Standards
- Use of interim assessments
- Use of data, including individual student performance, to drive instruction
- Effective teachers in every classroom
- Coordinated STEM opportunities

School Level

- School-level data PD and capacity building
- Use of data to drive schoolwide instruction
- Evaluations based on student performance used to make personnel decisions
- Collaborative PD and best-practice sharing – linking high-achieving schools to middle- and lower-achieving schools
- Additional capacity and support for turnaround schools

LEA-level

- PD and communication with staff and parents around Common Core adoption
- Development of instructional improvement systems
- Human capital decisions driven by evaluations
- Pipelines to bring in effective teachers, particularly in high-need subject areas
- Implementation support from OSSE

LEA-level Budget Analysis

- Final figures not yet available, but approximately 56% of RTTT award would go directly to LEAs, with another 28% available through competitive grants.
- Median charter Direct-to-LEA award, based on Phase I participating, would be \$66,688 in the first year and \$222,293 over four years.
- Direct-to-LEA funding would pay for: interim assessments, Common Core PD, school-level data PD & capacity, evaluation systems, and PD systems.
- Low dollar amounts per LEA annually present challenge to smaller LEAs
- RTTT team is encouraging LEAs to form consortia to meet RTTT objectives – pool funds, other resources and collaborate around initiatives

Questions for the State Board of Education
